arXiv:1702.01887v1 [math.FA] 7 Feb 2017

UNDERSAMPLED WINDOWED EXPONENTIALS, SPECTRA OF TOEPLITZ OPERATORS AND ITS APPLICATIONS

CHUN-KIT LAI AND SUI TANG

Abstract. We characterize the completeness and frame/basis property of a union of under-sampled windowed exponentials of the form

$$\mathcal{F}(g) := \{e^{2\pi inx} : n \geq 0\} \cup \{g(x)e^{2\pi inx} : n < 0\}$$

for $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$ by the spectra of the Toeplitz operators with symbol g. Using this characterization, we classify all real-valued functions g such that $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete or forms a frame/basis. Conversely, we use the classical Kadec-1/4-theorem in non-harmonic Fourier series to determine all ξ such that the Toeplitz operators with symbol $e^{2\pi i\xi x}$ is injective or invertible. These results demonstrate an elegant interaction between frame theory of windowed exponentials and Toeplitz operators. Finally, as an application, we use our results to answer some open questions in dynamical sampling, phase retrieval and derivative samplings on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and Paley-Wiener spaces of bandlimited functions.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries on Toeplitz and Hankel operators 6
3. Frame properties of $\mathcal{F}(g)$ 9
4. Classification of admissible window functions 12
5. One-sided exponentials 15
6. Applications 17
7. Discussions and Open Problems 21
References 22

1. Introduction

Background. Let Ω be a measurable set of finite Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Suppose that $g \in L^2(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ and Λ is a countable subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$. We define the collection of *windowed exponentials* by

$$\mathcal{E}(g, \Lambda) := \{g(x)e^{2\pi i\langle \lambda, x\rangle} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42C15, 42C30s.

Key words and phrases. Completeness, Frames, Spectra, Toeplitz operators, windowed exponentials.

A natural question is to determine when a union of finitely many windowed exponentials $\bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathcal{E}(g_j, \Lambda_j)$ is complete or forms a frame/Riesz basis for $L^2(\Omega)$. Let us recall the definitions as below:

Definition 1.1. The collection of windowed exponentials $\bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathcal{E}(g_j, \Lambda_j)$ forms a *frame* for $L^2(\Omega)$ if there exists $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_j} \left| \int f(x)\overline{g_j(x)} e^{-2\pi i \langle \lambda, x \rangle} dx \right|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2.$$

It is called a *Riesz basis if the collection forms a frame with the property that every* $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ *is expanded uniquely as* $\sum \alpha_{j,\lambda} g_j(x) e^{2\pi i \langle \lambda, x \rangle}$.

The collection of windowed exponentials is called *complete* if

$$\int_\Omega f(x)\overline{g_j(x)} e^{-2\pi i \langle \lambda, x \rangle} dx = 0, \ \forall \lambda \in \Lambda_j, j = 1, .., N,$$

then $f = 0$ a.e. on Ω.

The question in determining the completeness and frame/basis properties of windowed exponentials is closely related to wavelet theory and Gabor analysis since problems about the frame of translate in the multi-resolution analysis and regular Gabor system can be reduced to those of windowed exponentials via respectively the Fourier transform and the Zak transform. For more details about the windowed exponentials, one can refer to [15] for an introduction and [14, 16, 18] for some recent advances. We also notice that if there is only one window, namely $g = \chi_\Omega$, then if $\mathcal{E}(\chi_\Omega, \Lambda)$ is called a *Fourier frame* if it forms a frame. Introduced by Duffin and Schaeffer [12] in the 1950s, Fourier frames have known to be a fundamental building block of sampling theory in applied harmonic analysis, and they have an elegant connection to complex analysis [24, 28].

It is well-known that every bounded set Ω admits a Fourier frame. Indeed, if we cover Ω by a cube, then the exponential orthonormal basis with frequency set denoted by Λ on the cube induces a tight Fourier frame for $L^2(\Omega)$. More generally, if $0 < m \leq g \leq M < \infty$ on Ω, then $\mathcal{E}(g, \Lambda)$ forms a frame for $L^2(\Omega)$. We say that $\{g_1, ..., g_N\}$ is *admissible* if there exists some Λ_j such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathcal{E}(g_j, \Lambda_j)$ forms a frame of windowed exponentials for $L^2(\Omega)$. In [14] (See also [19]), the class of all admissible windows $\{g_1, ..., g_N\}$ were completely classified. The necessary and sufficient condition for $\{g_1, ..., g_N\}$ to be admissible for $L^2(\Omega)$ is that there exists $c > 0$ with the property that

$$\max_{\{j : \|g_j\|_\infty < \infty\}} |g_j| \geq c > 0. \text{ a.e. on } \Omega$$

In particular, when there is only one window g, g is admissible if and only if $0 < m \leq g \leq M$ a.e. on Ω.

If $\{g_1, ..., g_N\}$ is admissible, Λ_j are produced by over-sampling in the sense that the (Beurling) density of Λ_j are strictly greater than the measure of Ω. While over-sampling is common in practice, there are real situations in which each Λ_j are indeed

undersampled or even of Beurling density zero, yet a union of windowed exponentials $\bigcup_{j=1}^{N} \mathcal{E}(g_j, \Lambda_j)$ forms a frame and works perfectly.

This paper aims to study the possibility of forming a frame in the undersampling circumstances. In particular, we restrict our attention to a special case: let $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N}^+ \cup \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{N}^-$ be the set of all negative integers and define

$$\mathcal{F}(g) = \mathcal{E}(\chi_{[-1/2,1/2]}, \mathbb{N}_0) \cup \mathcal{E}(g, \mathbb{N}^-). \tag{1}$$

We ask for what g the set of functions $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete/ or forms a Riesz basis/frame for $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$.

It turns out the solution to the question above can be characterized completely by spectra of Toeplitz operators, which opens up a new connection between frame theory and spectral theory of Toeplitz operators. Furthermore, the consideration of the completeness properties $\mathcal{F}(g)$ has an immediate application to the dynamical sampling theory and phaseless reconstruction in applied harmonic analysis.

Relation to Toeplitz operators. By expressing the analysis operator of $\mathcal{F}(g)$ in terms of the Toeplitz operators T_g, we have the following characterization regarding the invertibility of the Toeplitz operators T_g.

Theorem 1.2. *(1) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete if and only if T_g is injective.*
(2) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms a frame if and only if T_g is both norm-bounded above and below.
(3) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms a Riesz basis if and only if T_g is bounded and invertible.

We will prove the theorem in Theorem 3.4. This theorem gives us in principle a complete characterization of the frame and basis property of $\mathcal{F}(g)$ regarding the invertibility of the Toeplitz operator and the triviality of the Toeplitz kernel. This leads us to look for classical results on Toeplitz operators. In particular, we need theorems characterizing the frame property by the function values of g. A complete classification for the invertible Toeplitz operators T_g was given *analytically* through the Widom-Devinatz theorem in the 1960s. We refer the reader to [6, 23] and a recent survey [17] for the detailed study. Makorov and Poltoratski also studied Toeplitz operators from Beurling-Malliavin theory and received considerable attention [22]. Unfortunately, as far as we know, there is no easily checkable *geometric* criterion to check if the Toeplitz operator T_g is invertible for a general complex-valued window g.

We restrict our attention to two specific cases which demonstrate an elegant interaction between windowed exponentials and Toeplitz operators. First, in Theorem 4.2, using some classical results in the theory of Toeplitz operators, we will provide a complete characterization of the completeness and frame/bases property of $\mathcal{F}(g)$ when g is real-valued. Secondly, we will consider the complex windows $g_\xi(x) = e^{2\pi i \xi x}$. Using the Kadec-1/4 theorem in non-harmonic Fourier series, we completely characterize all ξ for which $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete/ a frame or a Riesz basis. Without referencing to any results in Toeplitz operators, we classify the invertibility of all T_{g_ξ}.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $g_\xi(x) = e^{2\pi i \xi x}$. Then*

(1) T_{g_ξ} *is not injective if* $\xi < -1/2$.
(2) T_{g_ξ} *is invertible if* $-1/2 < \xi < 1/2$.
(3) T_{g_ξ} *is injective but not normed-bounded below if* $\xi = -1/2 + n$ *for* $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$
(4) T_{g_ξ} *is injective and normed-bounded below but not surjective if* $\xi > 1/2$ *and* $\xi \neq -1/2 + n$ *for* $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$

After establishing our results on $\mathcal{F}(g)$, we will also characterize the completeness and frame property for one-sided exponentials $\mathcal{O}(g) = \mathcal{E}(\chi_{[-1/2,1/2]}, \mathbb{N}^-) \cup \mathcal{E}(g, \mathbb{N}^-)$. This will help us prove our main results in the application section.

Applications. Problems in determining the frame property of $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is also motivated by dynamical sampling/spatial-temporal sampling problems in the diffusion field. Spatial-temporal evolution of various physical phenomena can be modeled using diffusion fields such as temperature variation, and the distribution of pollution plumes in the atmosphere. In Wireless Sensor Networks (WSN), a lot of sensors are distributed to take spatial-temporal samples of the underlying physical field [1]. In practice, increasing the spatial sampling density is usually much more expensive than increasing the temporal sampling density [21], the hope is to use as fewer sensors as possible but to activate them sequentially at different times, which is economically more efficient.

Formulated mathematically, Aldroubi and his collaborators [2, 3, 4, 5, 13] considered $F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and the spatial sampling sets $\{\Omega_i\}$, $i = 1, ..., L$, are collections of proper subsets in $\mathbb{Z}$. They are usually assumed to be nested, i.e., $\Omega_1 \cdots \subset \Omega_L$, and are well-spread. For example, $\Omega_1 = \cdots \Omega_L = m\mathbb{Z}$ $(m > 1)$ in [3]. Let also $G_1, ..., G_L \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$[1]. We want to reconstruct F from the samples

$$(G_1 * F(\Omega_1), ..., G_L * F(\Omega_L))$$

(Here $G(\Omega_i) = \{G(n) : n \in \Omega_i\}$). Notice that this model is equivalent to sampling through the Paley-Wiener space of bandlimited functions supported on a bandwidth of length one as well as certain shift-invariant spaces [3]. As Ω_i are proper subsets of $\mathbb{Z}$, we may think they are below the Nyquist rate and hence samples on an individual Ω_i is not able to recover F.

We say that $(G_i, \Omega_i)_{i=1,...,L}$ allows a *stable sampling* if the linear map

$$\mathbf{A} : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{L} \ell^2(\Omega_i)$$

defined by

$$\mathbf{A}F = (G_1 * F(\Omega_1), ..., G_L * F(\Omega_L)) \tag{2}$$

[1]To avoid confusion, we will use upper case letter to denote functions in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and PW and lower case letter to denote functions in $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$

admits a bounded left-inverse $\mathbf{B}$ such that $\mathbf{BA}F = F$ for all $F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. We define the Fourier transform of $G \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$g(x) := \widehat{G}(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} G(n)e^{-2\pi inx}, x \in \mathbb{T},$$

where $\mathbb{T}$ is the circle group identified as $[-1/2, 1/2]$. We first notice that for $\mathbf{A}$ to be well-defined, one should naturally require $G_i * F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ (Lemma 6.1). In fact, this is equivalent to $\widehat{G_i} \in L^\infty[-1/2, 1/2]$. The following theorem connects dynamical sampling problems with windowed exponentials we have been considering.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $G_1, ..., G_L \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ be sequences such that $\widehat{G_i} \in L^\infty$ and let $g_i(\xi) = \widehat{G_i}(\xi)$. Then $(G_i, \Omega_i)_{i=1,...,L}$ allows a stable sampling if and only if $\bigcup_{i=1}^{L} \mathcal{E}(\overline{g_i}, \Omega_i)$ forms a frame of windowed exponentials on $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$.*

Because of this theorem, the frame property of $\mathcal{F}(g)$ and $\mathcal{O}(g)$ allows us to determine the set of all a such that

$$\{F(\mathbb{N}_-), (G * F)(\mathbb{N}_0)\} \text{ and } \{F(\mathbb{N}_-), (G * F)(\mathbb{N}_-)\}$$

is stably recoverable (note that $F(\mathbb{N}_-) = (\delta_0 * F)(\mathbb{N}^-)$ with δ_0 is the Dirac function on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$). In contrast with $\Omega_i = m\mathbb{Z}$ in [3], $\mathbb{N}^-$ and $\mathbb{N}_0$ has zero lower Beurling densities, which could not admit stable recovery individually on any subsets of $[-1/2, 1/2]$.

Our study on $\mathcal{F}(g)$ also sheds some light in understanding the spatiotemporal sampling problem in the phaseless setting. Phaseless reconstruction or phase retrieval concerns about reconstructing $f \in H$ by linear measurement up to a phase factor. We say that a collection of vectors $\{\varphi_n\}$ in a Hilbert space H is *(complex)-phase retireviable* if

$$|\langle f, \varphi_n\rangle| = |\langle g, \varphi_n\rangle| \ \forall n \Rightarrow f = e^{i\theta}g, \tag{3}$$

for some constant angle θ. It is called *real-phase retrievable* in H if H is a Hilbert space over the real numbers and $f = \pm g$ in the right-hand side of the implication of (3). Recently , there are tremendous works on phase retrieval due to its rapid application in engineering [7, 8, 9, 11, 25, and the references therein]. In our setting, we ask if there exist a window G that $F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ can be uniquely determined up to a sign from the samples $\{|F(n)|, |G * F(n)| : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. This is a natural setting for complex-phase retrieval in Paley-Wiener space. Using our results on $\mathcal{O}(g)$, we will also give a partial answer to this question as well.

Concerning sampling theory on Paley-Wiener space with bandwidth of length one,

$$PW = \{F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \text{supp}\widehat{F} \subset [-1/2, 1/2]\}, \tag{4}$$

we notice that this space is isometric isomorphic to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and thus our results on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ hold the same on Paley-Wiener space. As functions on PW space are differentiable (indeed entire on the complex plane), one may also think of differentiation as a linear evolution operator. Our study on $\mathcal{F}(g)$ shows unfortunately that the samples $\{F(n)\}_{n\geq 0} \cup \left\{\frac{-1}{2\pi i}F'(n)\right\}_{n<0}$ cannot stably recover all F. Despite the negative

answer, we show instead that if F is an even function, we can actually stably recover F.

We organize our paper as follows: In Section 2, we will review some basic facts about the spectra of Toeplitz and Hankel operators. In Section 3, we will prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 4, we will classify a particular class of windows for $\mathcal{F}(g)$ to be a frame/basis and Theorem 1.3 will be proved. In Section 5, we study the case for the one-sided exponentials. In Section 6, we will consider the applications of our classification in different settings of sampling theory. We end our papers with some open problems.

2. Preliminaries on Toeplitz and Hankel operators

In this section, we set up all terminologies of Toeplitz operators that we will use throughout this paper. Moreover, we will identify the circle group $\mathbb{T} \cong [-1/2, 1/2]$.

Definition 2.1. We say that a bounded linear operator $T : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ is a *Toeplitz operator* if, with respect to the standard basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$, T admits a matrix representation

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_{-1} & a_{-2} & \cdots \\ a_1 & a_0 & a_{-1} & \ddots \\ a_2 & a_1 & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \end{bmatrix} \tag{5}$$

We say that a bounded linear operator $H : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-)$ is a *Hankel operator* if, with respect to the standard basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$, H admits a matrix representation

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \ddots \\ a_2 & a_3 & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \end{bmatrix} \tag{6}$$

Remark 2.2. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\tilde{H}^p$ be a closed subspace of $L^p[-1/2, 1/2]$ defined by

$$\tilde{H}^p = \{\tilde{f} \in L^p[-1/2, 1/2] : \langle f, e^{2\pi i n x} \rangle = 0 \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}^-\}.$$

Let $\phi \in L^\infty([-1/2, 1/2])$ and let $P_+ : L^2[-1/2, 1/2] \to \tilde{H}^2$ be the Riesz projection operator. Then we can define a bounded linear operator $T_\phi : \tilde{H}^2 \to \tilde{H}^2$ by

$$T_\phi f := P_+(\phi f).$$

T_ϕ is called the Topelitz operator with symbol ϕ and T_ϕ is bounded. The matrix representation of T_ϕ in terms of the standard basis $\{e^{2\pi i n x} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ of $\tilde{H}^2$ is given

by

$$T_\phi = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\phi}(0) & \widehat{\phi}(-1) & \widehat{\phi}(-2) & \cdots \\ \widehat{\phi}(1) & \widehat{\phi}(0) & \widehat{\phi}(-1) & \ddots \\ \widehat{\phi}(2) & \widehat{\phi}(1) & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \end{bmatrix}.$$

Conversely, if T is a bounded Toeplitz operator with representation (5), then there exists $\phi \in L^\infty[-1/2, 1/2]$ such that T is the matrix representation of T_ϕ. Furthermore, we have $\|T_\phi\| = \|\phi\|_\infty$

Let $P_- = I - P$ and $\tilde{H}^2_- = L^2[-1/2, 1/2] \ominus \tilde{H}^2$, we can define a bounded linear operator $H_\phi : \tilde{H}^2 \to \tilde{H}^2_-$

$$H_\phi f = P_-(\phi f).$$

H_ϕ is called the Hankel operator with symbol ϕ and the matrix representation of H_ϕ in terms of the standard Fourier basis in $\tilde{H}^2$ and $\tilde{H}^2_-$ is given by

$$H_\phi = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\phi}(-1) & \widehat{\phi}(-2) & \widehat{\phi}(-3) & \cdots \\ \widehat{\phi}(-2) & \widehat{\phi}(-3) & \widehat{\phi}(-4) & \ddots \\ \widehat{\phi}(-3) & \widehat{\phi}(-4) & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \end{bmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

Conversely, if H is a Hankel operator with matrix representation (6)*, then there exists $\phi \in L^\infty[-1/2, 1/2]$ such that H is the matrix representation of H_ϕ.*

We recall some definitions about the spectrum of a bounded linear operator on Hilbert spaces. Let $A : H \to H$ be a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space H. The spectrum $\sigma(A)$ is the set of λ such that $A - \lambda I$ is not invertible. There are three types of spectra generating $\sigma(A)$:

$$\sigma(A) = \sigma_p(A) \cup \sigma_{ap}(A) \cup \sigma_c(A),$$

where $\sigma_p(A)$ is the *point spectrum* consisting of all λ such that $A - \lambda I$ is not injective, $\sigma_{ap}(A)$ is the *approximate point spectrum* consisting of all λ such that $A - \lambda I$ is injective but $A - \lambda I$ is not bounded below (i.e. there exists $f_n \in H$ with $\|f_n\| = 1$ such that $\|(A - \lambda I)f_n\| \to 0$) and $\sigma_c(A)$ is the *compression spectrum* consisting of points λ such that $A - \lambda I$ does not have a dense range. If $\lambda \notin \sigma(A)$, then we say that $A - \lambda I$ is *invertible*.

The following is a standard lemma in functional analysis.

Lemma 2.3. *Let H be a Hilbert space and let A be a bounded linear operator on H. Then the following are equivalent.*

(1) $\lambda \notin \sigma_{ap}(A)$.
(2) The $ker(A - \lambda I) = \{0\}$ and $ran(A - \lambda I)$ is closed.
(3) $ran(A^* - \overline{\lambda} I) = H$.

(4) $A-\lambda I$ *is norm-bounded below (i.e. there exists* $c > 0$ *such that* $\|(A-\lambda I)f\| \geq c\|f\|$*).*
(5) $A - \lambda I$ *has a bounded left-inverse* B *such that* $B(A - \lambda I) = I$*.*

Proof. The equivalence of (1), (2) and (3) can be found in [10, Proposition 1.1 p.347]. The equivalence of (1) and (4) is obvious. (2) implies (5) follows from the inverse mapping theorem of bounded linear operators. Finally, (5) implies (4) follows from $\|x\| = \|BAx\| \leq \|B\|\|Ax\|$ (we assume $\lambda = 0$ without loss of generality). So we can take $c = \|B\|^{-1}$. □

Our interest is to determine whether 0 is in the spectrum of a Toeplitz operator. The characterization depends closely on the range of T_ϕ.

For $\phi \in L^\infty$, the *essential range* of ϕ is defined as

$$\text{essran}(\phi) := \{y : m(\{x : |\phi(x) - y| < \epsilon\}) > 0 \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0\}.$$

The *essential infimum* and *essential supremum* of a real-valued function ϕ are defined as

$$\text{essinf}(\phi) := \inf \text{essran}(\phi), \ \text{esssup}(\phi) := \sup \text{essran}(\phi).$$

The following theorem can be found in [23].

Theorem 2.4. *Let* T_ϕ *be the Toeplitz operator associated with the* L^∞ *function* ϕ*. Then*

(1) $essran(\phi) \subset \sigma_{ap}(T_\phi)$*.*
(2) *(Coburn alternative)* T_ϕ *or* $T_{\overline{\phi}}$ *is injective. In particular, if* ϕ *is real-valued, then* T_ϕ *is injective.*
(3) *Suppose that* ϕ *is real-valued. Then* $\sigma(T_\phi) = [essinf(\phi), esssup(\phi)]$*. Moreover, for all* λ *in the open interval* $(essinf(\phi), esssup(\phi))$*,* $T_\phi - \lambda I$ *is not surjective.*

The last part of the theorem follows from the proof in [23, Theorem 3.3.15]. Finally, we mention the general analytic invertibility theorem for T_ϕ due to Widom-Devinatz (see e.g., [6, Theorem 2.23]).

Theorem 2.5. *[Widom-Devinatz]* T_ϕ *is invertible if and only if* $\phi^{-1} \in L^\infty[-1/2, 1/2]$ *and*

$$\frac{\phi}{|\phi|} = e^{i(\widetilde{u}+v+c)} \ a.e. \ on \ [-1/2, 1/2] \tag{8}$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$*,* u, v *are bounded real-valued functions,* $\|v\|_\infty < \pi/2$ *and* $\widetilde{u}$ *is the harmonic conjugate of* u*.*

Condition in (8) is commonly referred as *Helson-Szegö condition.*

3. Frame properties of $\mathcal{F}(g)$

In this section, we will use the spectral properties of Toeplitz operators to characterize the frame properties of $\mathcal{F}(g)$. Recall that

$$\mathcal{F}(g) = \mathcal{E}(\chi_{[-1/2,1/2]}, \mathbb{N}_0) \cup \mathcal{E}(g, \mathbb{N}^-).$$

$\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms a frame if and only if there exist $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} |\langle f, ge_n\rangle|^2 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle f, e_n\rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2,$$

for all $f \in L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$. It forms a *Bessel sequence* if it only satisfies the upper bound.

We now consider the *analysis operator* of $\mathcal{F}(g)$, $\Phi_g : L^2\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right] \longrightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ defined by

$$\Phi_g f = (\cdots, \langle f, ge_{-2}\rangle, \langle f, ge_{-1}\rangle, \langle f, e_0\rangle, \langle f, e_1\rangle, \langle f, ge_2\rangle \cdots).$$

The following theorem is well-known in the frame theory literature (See e.g [15, Theorem 8.29 and 8.32]).

Theorem 3.1. *(1) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete if and only if Φ_g is injective.*
(2) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is a frame if and only if Φ_g is both norm-bounded above and below.
(3) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is a Riesz basis if and only if Φ_g is invertible.

Note that $L^2[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ is unitarily equivalent to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via the Fourier coefficients.

$$f \in L^2\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right] \longleftrightarrow \{\widehat{f}(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}.$$

We denote $e_n(x) = e^{2\pi i n x}$. Suppose that $g = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} b_n e_n$. Then

$$\overline{g} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \overline{b_{-n}} e_n.$$

Hence,

$$\langle f, ge_n\rangle = \langle f\overline{g}, e_n\rangle = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \overline{b_{-(n-k)}} \widehat{f}(k).$$

We also define the function

$$\widetilde{g}(x) = \overline{g(-x)} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{b_n} e_n(x).$$

Suppose that we identify $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{N}^- \oplus \mathbb{N}_0$ and order $\mathbb{N}^- = \{-1, -2, ...\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$. We can think of Φ_g as a mapping from $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-) \oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ to $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-) \oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$, the map becomes

$$\Phi_g(a_{-1}, \cdots, a_0, a_1, \cdots) = (\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \overline{b_{k+1}} a_k, \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \overline{b_{k+2}} a_k, \cdots, a_0, a_1, \cdots)$$

Hence, Φ_g admits a matrix representation of the form

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} T_{\widetilde{g}} & H_{\overline{g}} \\ -- & -- \\ O & I \end{array}\right] \tag{9}$$

where $T_{\widetilde{g}} : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-) \longrightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-)$ and $H_{\overline{g}} : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0) \longrightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-)$ with the matrix representation

$$T_{\widetilde{g}} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{b_0} & \overline{b_{-1}} & \overline{b_{-2}} & \cdots \\ \overline{b_1} & \overline{b_0} & \overline{b_{-1}} & \ddots \\ \overline{b_2} & \overline{b_1} & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \end{bmatrix}, \; H_{\overline{g}} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{b_1} & \overline{b_2} & \overline{b_3} & \cdots \\ \overline{b_2} & \overline{b_3} & \overline{b_4} & \ddots \\ \overline{b_3} & \overline{b_4} & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \end{bmatrix}.$$

With a slight abuse of notation, we identify $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-)$ and $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ by an obvious isometric isomorphism, so that $T_{\widetilde{g}}$ is a Toeplitz operator. We have a simple lemma concerning the spectra of $T_{\widetilde{g}}$ and T_g.

Lemma 3.2. *$\sigma_p(T_{\widetilde{g}}) = \overline{\sigma_p(T_g)}$, $\sigma_{ap}(T_{\widetilde{g}}) = \overline{\sigma_{ap}(T_g)}$ and $\sigma_c(T_{\widetilde{g}}) = \overline{\sigma_c(T_g)}$.*

Proof. This lemma follows from the a direct observation that for any $f = (f_1, f_2, ...)$, $T_{\widetilde{g}} f = \overline{T_g \overline{f}}$. □

We have the following proposition concerning the boundedness of the operators T_g.

Proposition 3.3. *$\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms a Bessel sequence for $L^2([-1/2, 1/2])$ if and only if $g \in L^\infty[-1/2, 1/2]$ and T_g is a bounded operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$.*

Proof. If $g \in L^\infty[-1/2, 1/2]$, then both T_g and H_g are bounded operators. The sufficiency part follows from a direct check. We now give the necessity part with two different proofs from two point of views. One is from the frame theory and the other one is from the Toeplitz operator theory.

(Beurling density proof). From [14, Theorem 3.2(i)], it was proved that if $\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms a Bessel sequence and $g \notin L^\infty$, then the upper Beurling density of $\mathbb{N}^-$ has to be zero. Recall that the upper Beurling density of Λ is

$$D^+(\Lambda) = \limsup_{R\to\infty} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{\#(\Lambda \cap (x + [-R, R]))}{2R},$$

From the definition, $D^+(\mathbb{N}^-) = 1$. This is a contradiction. Hence, $g \in L^\infty[-1/2, 1/2]$. Thus, T_g is bounded by Remark 2.2.

(From Toeplitz operator theory) From the definition of the Bessel sequence, we know that $\|\Phi_g f\|^2 \le B\|f\|^2$. Let $f = (f^-, f^+) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-) \oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$. Using the representation in (9),

$$\|T_{\widetilde{g}} f^- + H_{\overline{g}} f^+\|^2 + \|f^+\|^2 \le B(\|f^-\|^2 + \|f^+\|^2).$$

Let $f^+ = 0$, we obtain $\|T_{\widetilde{g}} f^-\|^2 \le B\|f^-\|^2$. Hence, $T_{\widetilde{g}}$ is a bounded operator and g is bounded by Remark 2.2. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2. From the facts about each spectra, we can formulate Theorem 1.2 in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *(1) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete if and only if $0 \notin \sigma_p(T_g)$.*
(2) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms a frame if and only if T_g is bounded and $0 \notin \sigma_{ap}(T_g)$.
(3) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms a Riesz basis if and only if T_g is bounded and $0 \notin \sigma(T_g)$.

Proof. Because of Lemma 3.2, it suffices to prove all the statements with T_g replaced by $T_{\widetilde{g}}$. Throughout the proof, we write $f = (f^-, f^+) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-) \oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$.

(1) Suppose that $0 \notin \sigma_p(T_{\widetilde{g}})$. Then $T_{\widetilde{g}}$ is injective. We need to show that Φ_g is injective. To see this, let $\Phi_g f = 0$ and we have

$$T_{\widetilde{g}} f^- + H_{\overline{g}} f^+ = 0, \text{ and } f^+ = 0.$$

Hence, $T_{\widetilde{g}} f^- = 0$. But $T_{\widetilde{g}}$ is injective, $f^- = 0$. This shows Φ_g is injective and thus $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete. Conversely, suppose that Φ_g is injective and let $T_{\widetilde{g}} f = 0$. Consider $(f, 0)$ and we have $\Phi_g \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{\widetilde{g}} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$. Hence, injectivity of Φ_g implies that $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$. Thus, $f = 0$.

(2). Suppose that T_g is bounded (and thus g is bounded) and $0 \notin \sigma_{ap}(T_{\widetilde{g}})$. Then the proof of upper bound is trivial by the fact that $g \in L^\infty$ since now $T_{\widetilde{g}}$ and $H_{\overline{g}}$ are bounded. We now show that it satisfies the lower bound. By Lemma 2.3, $T_{\widetilde{g}}$ is injective and has a closed range. Furthermore, it is norm bounded below. Let c_1 be the constant such that

$$\|T_{\widetilde{g}} f\| \geq c_1 \|f\|, \ \forall \ f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-)$$

Let $c_2 = \| - H_{\overline{g}}\|$. As $f = (f^-, f^+)$, $\|f\|^2 = \|f^-\|^2 + \|f^+\|^2$. We need to prove that Φ_g is norm bounded below.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi_g f\|^2 =& \|T_{\widetilde{g}} f^- + H_{\overline{g}} f^+\|^2 + \|f^+\|^2 \\
=& \|T_{\widetilde{g}} f^- + H_{\overline{g}} f^+\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|f^+\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|f^+\|^2 \\
\geq& \|T_{\widetilde{g}} f^- + H_{\overline{g}} f^+\|^2 + \frac{1}{2c_2}\| - H_{\overline{g}} f^+\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|f^+\|^2 \\
\geq& \min\left(1, \frac{1}{2c_2}\right) \cdot \left(\|T_{\widetilde{g}} f^- + H_{\overline{g}} f^+\|^2 + \| - H_{\overline{g}} f^+\|^2\right) + \frac{1}{2}\|f^+\|^2 \\
\geq& \frac{1}{2}\min\left(1, \frac{1}{2c_2}\right) \cdot \left(\|T_{\widetilde{g}} f^- + H_{\overline{g}} f^+\| + \| - H_{\overline{g}} f^+\|\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|f^+\|^2 \\
\geq& \frac{1}{2}\min\left(1, \frac{1}{2c_2}\right) \|T_{\widetilde{g}} f^-\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|f^+\|^2 \\
\geq& \min\left(\frac{c_1}{2}, \frac{c_1}{4c_2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \|f\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the inequality $(a^2 + b^2) \geq \frac{1}{2}(a + b)^2$ in the third last line and the triangle inequality in the second last line. This completes the proof.

Conversely, suppose that $0 \in \sigma_{ap}(T_{\widetilde{g}})$. Then there exists f_n with $\|f_n\| = 1$ such that $\|T_{\widetilde{g}} f_n\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Taking the vector $(f_n, 0)$. Then

$$\Phi_g \begin{pmatrix} f_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{\overline{g}} f_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \to 0.$$

Hence, Φ_g is not bounded below and hence $\mathcal{F}(g)$ cannot be a frame.

(3). Suppose that $0 \notin \sigma(T_{\widetilde{g}})$. Then $T_{\widetilde{g}}$ is injective and hence Φ_g is injective by (1). To see that Φ_g is surjective, we take $\begin{pmatrix} y^- \\ y^+ \end{pmatrix}$ and we try to solve

$$\Phi_g \begin{pmatrix} f^- \\ f^+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y^- \\ y^+ \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, $f^+ = y^+$ and $T_{\widetilde{g}} f^- = y^- - H_{\overline{g}} f^+$. As $T_{\widetilde{g}}$ is surjective, $T_{\widetilde{g}}^{-1}$ is bounded by inverse mapping theorem of linear operators. Thus, we can find $f^- = T_{\widetilde{g}}^{-1}(y^- - H_{\overline{g}} f^+)$ in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Hence, Φ_g is invertible and possesses a bounded inverse. $0 \notin \sigma(T_{\widetilde{g}})$ follows.

Conversely, Suppose that $0 \in \sigma(T_{\widetilde{g}})$. Then $0 \in \sigma_{ap}(T_{\widetilde{g}})$ or $0 \in \sigma_c(T_{\widetilde{g}})$. However, if $0 \in \sigma_{ap}(T_{\widetilde{g}})$, then (2) shows that $\mathcal{F}(g)$ cannot be a frame and hence cannot be a Riesz bases. On the other hand, if $0 \in \sigma_c(T_{\widetilde{g}})$, then $T_{\widetilde{g}}$ cannot be surjective. Hence, Φ_g cannot be surjective either since it was, then $\Phi_g f = (y, 0)$ for any y and it makes $T_{\widetilde{g}}$ is surjective. □

4. Classification of admissible window functions

We will give some geometric characterizations of certain window functions for the frame/basis property of $\mathcal{F}(g)$. First of all, combining Theorem 3.4 and Widom-Devinatz theorem (Theorem 2.5), we have an analytic characterization by Helson-Szegö.

Theorem 4.1. *$\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms a Riesz basis for $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$ if and only if $g^{-1} \in L^\infty$ and g satisfies the Helson-Szegö condition.*

As we have discussed in the introduction, Helson-Szegö condition does not give us a directly checkable criterion even for simple classes of functions. Furthermore, there is not even an analogous theorem for 0 to be outside the point/approximate point spectrum. We will turn our attention to certain classes of functions. We first give a complete characterization of the real-valued window functions.

Theorem 4.2. *Let g be real-valued. Then*

(1) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete.
(2) The following are equivalent.
  (a) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms a Riesz basis.
  (b) $\mathcal{F}(g)$ forms a frame.
  (c) $0 \notin [essinf(g), esssup(g)]$.

Proof. (1). By Theorem 2.4(2), we know that if g is real-valued, then T_g is injective. Hence, $0 \notin \sigma_p(T_g)$. Hence, by Theorem 3.4, $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete.

(2) (a) implies (b) is from definition. (c) implies (a) follows from Theorem 2.4(iii) which states that $\sigma(T_g) = [\text{essinf}(g), \text{esssup}(g)]$. As $0 \notin \sigma(T_g)$ by (c), Theorem 3.4(3) shows that $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is a Riesz basis.

We now show (b) implies (c). We suppose that $0 \in [\text{essinf}(g), \text{esssup}(g)]$. If $0 = \text{essinf}(g)$ or $\text{esssup}(g)$, then $0 \in \text{essran}(g) \subset \sigma_{ap}(T_{\tilde{g}})$ by Theorem 2.4(1). By Theorem 3.4(2), $\mathcal{F}(g)$ does not form a frame. Suppose that $0 \in (\text{essinf}(g), \text{esssup}(g))$. Then Theorem 2.4(3) shows that T_g is not surjective. As T_g is self-adjoint for real-valued g, $\text{ran}(T_g^* - \overline{0}I) = \text{ran}(T_g))$ is not the whole Hilbert space. By Lemma 2.3 (3), $0 \in \sigma_{ap}(T_g)$. By Theorem 3.4(2) again, $\mathcal{F}(g)$ does not form a frame. This completes the proof. □

Example 4.3. (1). Let $g(x) = x\chi_{[-1/2,1/2]}$. Then $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete as g is real-valued. However, it does not form a frame because 0 is in $[\text{essinf}(g), \text{esssup}(g)] = [-1, 1]$.

(2). Let $g(x) = -\chi_{[-1/2,0)} + \chi_{[0,1/2]}$. Then $\mathcal{F}(g)$ is complete as g is real-valued. However, it does not form a frame because 0 is in the interval $[\text{essinf}(g), \text{esssup}(g)] = [-1, 1]$ (note that $\text{essran}(g) = \{-1, 1\}$). On the other hand, if we consider the windowed exponentials $\{g(x)e^{2\pi inx} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, then the system forms a frame for $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$ since $|g| = 1$.

We now turn to study complex windows. In particular, we study the simple case when $g_\xi(x) = e^{2\pi i\xi x}$. Then

$$\mathcal{F}(g_\xi) = \{e^{2\pi inx} : n = 0, 1, 2, ...\} \cup \{e^{2\pi i(\xi+n)x} : n = -1, -2, ...\}.$$

In this special case, we define a map $T : L^2[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \to L^2[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ by

$$Tf(x) = e^{-\pi i\xi x/2} f(x).$$

It is easy to see that T is an invertible bounded linear operator. Hence $\mathcal{F}(g_\xi)$ is complete/ a Riesz basis/ a frame if and only if $T(\mathcal{F}(g_\xi))$ is complete/ a Riesz basis/ a frame. Note that

$$T(\mathcal{F}(g_\xi)) = \{e^{2\pi i(n-\frac{\xi}{2})x} : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \cup \{e^{2\pi i(\frac{\xi}{2}+n)x} : n \in \mathbb{N}^-\}.$$

Now define the real sequence

$$\Gamma_\xi = \left\{n - \frac{\xi}{2}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\right\} \cup \left\{n + \frac{\xi}{2} : n \in \mathbb{N}^-\right\}$$

and denote by $E(\Gamma_\xi) = \{e^{2\pi i\lambda_n\xi} : \lambda_n \in \Gamma_\xi\}$ the complex exponential system associated with Γ_ξ. Then we have $T(\mathcal{F}(g_\xi)) = E(\Gamma_\xi)$ and it is sufficient to determine whether $E(\Gamma_\xi)$ is complete/ a Riesz basis/ a frame. To this end, results from Young and Levinson gave us essentially an important insight.

Theorem 4.4. *(1) (Kadec-1/4 theorem) (see e.g. [31]): If $|\lambda_n - n| \le L < \frac{1}{4}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\{e^{2\pi i\lambda_n x}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis for $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$.*

(2) (Levinson, [20, Theorem V] or [31, Theorem 4]) If $\lambda_n = \begin{cases} n + \frac{1}{4} + \epsilon, & n > 0; \\ 0, & n = 0; \\ n - \frac{1}{4} - \epsilon, & n < 0. \end{cases}$,
then $\{e^{2\pi i\lambda_n x}\}$ is incomplete in $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$ for any $\epsilon > 0$.

(3) (Redheffer-Young, [27, Theorem 4 and Section 8]) If $\lambda_n = \begin{cases} n + \frac{1}{4}, & n > 0; \\ 0, & n = 0; \\ n - \frac{1}{4}, & n < 0. \end{cases}$,
then $\{e^{2\pi i\lambda_n x}\}$ is exact in $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$ but it is not a Riesz basis.

Proposition 4.5 ([31], Theorem 7)**.** *The completeness of the system $\{e^{2\pi i\lambda_n x}\}$ in $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$ is unaffected if finitely many λ_n is replaced by another numbers.*

Now we are ready to state our results for this special case and summarize them in the following theorem

Theorem 4.6. *(1) If $\xi < -1/2$, then $\mathcal{F}(g_\xi)$ is incomplete.*
(2) If $-1/2 < \xi < 1/2$, then $\mathcal{F}(g_\xi)$ is a Riesz basis.
(3) If $-1/2 + n < \xi < 1/2 + n, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, then $\mathcal{F}(g_\xi)$ is a frame but not a Riesz basis.
(4) If $\xi = -1/2 + n, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $\mathcal{F}(g_\xi)$ is complete but not a Riesz basis nor a frame.

Proof. (1) Suppose that $\xi < -1/2$. Then $-\xi/2 > 1/4$. By Theorem 4.4(2), and Proposition 4.5 with 0 being replaced by $0 - \xi/2$, $E(\Gamma_\xi)$ is not complete for $\xi < -1/2$.

(2) Using the Kadec-1/4 theorem, if $-1/2 < \xi < 1/2$, then Γ_ξ satisfies the assumption with $L = |\xi|/2$, so $E(\Gamma_\xi)$ is a Riesz basis. This gives us our conclusion.

(3) Suppose that $\xi = \xi_0 + n$ for $\xi_0 \in (-1/2, 1/2)$ and n is a positive integer. Then the original system $\mathcal{F}(g_{\xi_0}) \subsetneq \mathcal{F}(g_\xi)$. Note that we have proved that $\mathcal{F}(g_{\xi_0})$ is a Riesz basis by (2), hence $\mathcal{F}(g_\xi)$ is a frame, but not a Riesz basis since there are excessive elements.

(4) Using Theorem 4.4 (3), we know that $E(\Gamma_{-1/2})$ is exact and thus complete and it is not a Riesz basis. It is not a frame either. Otherwise, $E(\Gamma_{-1/2})$ will be an exact frame, which means it is a Riesz basis, which is a contradiction. Hence, we conclude that $\mathcal{F}(g_{-1/2}))$ is complete but not a Riesz basis nor a frame. In general, note that we have

$$\mathcal{F}(g_{-1/2}) \subset \mathcal{F}(g_{1/2}) \subset \mathcal{F}(g_{3/2}) \subset \cdots .$$

Suppose that there exists a set in this chain forms a frame. Then we can remove finitely many elements from this set to obtain $\mathcal{F}(g_{-1/2})$. Note that the removal of finitely many elements from a frame leaves either a frame or an incomplete set. Now, $\mathcal{F}(g_{-1/2})$ is complete, so if one of the sets forms a

frame, then $\mathcal{F}(g_{-1/2})$ is a frame also. This is a contradiction to what we just proved. Hence, every set in the above chain is complete and is not a Riesz basis nor frame.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.3. It follows directly from Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 3.4. □

5. One-sided exponentials

In this section, we are interested in the following collection of windowed exponentials

$$\mathcal{O}(g) = \mathcal{E}(\chi_{[-1/2,1/2]}, \mathbb{N}^-) \cup \mathcal{E}(g, \mathbb{N}^-).$$

We ask for what g does the above collection is complete/ a Riesz basis/ a frame for $L^2([-1/2, 1/2])$.This is related to phase retrieval problems.

Similar to $\mathcal{F}(g)$, we consider the *analysis operator* of $\mathcal{O}(g)$ defined by $\Psi_g : L^2\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right] \longrightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$

$$\Psi_g f = (\cdots, \langle f, ge_{-2}\rangle, \langle f, ge_{-1}\rangle, \langle f, e_{-1}\rangle, \langle f, e_{-2}\rangle, \langle f, e_{-3}\rangle \cdots).$$

Recall that $e_n(x) = e^{2\pi inx}$ and let $g = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} b_n e_n$. Then

$$\overline{g} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \overline{b_{-n}} e_n,$$

$$\widetilde{g}(x) = \overline{g(-x)} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{b_n} e_n(x).$$

We can identify $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$ with $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via Fourier coefficient. Identify $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{N}^- \times \mathbb{N}_0$ and order $\mathbb{N}^- = \{-1, -2, ...\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ in the same way as Case I. We can also think of $\tilde{\Phi}_g$ as a mapping from $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-) \oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ to $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-) \oplus \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$, then it becomes

$$\tilde{\Phi}_g(a_{-1}, \cdots, a_0, a_1, \cdots) = (\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \overline{b_{k+1}} a_k, \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \overline{b_{k+2}} a_k, \cdots, a_{-1}, a_{-2}, \cdots)$$

Hence, $\tilde{\Phi}_g$ admits a matrix representation of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_{\widetilde{g}} & | & H_{\overline{g}} \\ -- & | & -- \\ I & | & O \end{bmatrix}$$

where $T_{\widetilde{g}} : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-) \longrightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-)$ and $H_{\widetilde{g}} : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0) \longrightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N}^-)$ with the matrix representation

$$T_{\widetilde{g}} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{b_0} & \overline{b_{-1}} & \overline{b_{-2}} & \cdots \\ \overline{b_1} & \overline{b_0} & \overline{b_{-1}} & \ddots \\ \overline{b_2} & \overline{b_1} & \ddots & \ddots \\ \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \end{bmatrix}, \; H_{\overline{g}} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{b_1} & \overline{b_2} & \overline{b_3} & \cdots \\ \overline{b_2} & \overline{b_3} & \overline{b_4} & \ddots \\ \overline{b_3} & \overline{b_2} & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \end{bmatrix}.$$

We first have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let H be a bounded Hankel operator with matrix representation* (6)*, $0 \in \sigma_{ap}(H)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $0 \notin \sigma_{ap}(H)$, then we know that H is bounded below by Lemma 2.3. Thus for any $c \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$, there exists a positive number $m > 0$,

$$\|Hc\| \geq m\|c\|.$$

On $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$, we define the unilateral shift operator U by

$$U(b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, \cdots) = (0, b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, \cdots)$$

for $(b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, \cdots) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$. The adjoint operator of U is defined by

$$U^*(b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, \cdots) = (b_1, b_2, b_3, \cdots).$$

Observe that $(U^*)^n H = HU^n$ and U is an isometry. For a fixed $c \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$,

$$0 = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|(U^*)^n Hc\| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|HU^n c\| \geq m\|U^n c\| = m\|c\|.$$

Hence $m = 0$. We obtain a contradiction. So $0 \in \sigma_{ap}(H)$. □

Theorem 5.2. *(1) $\mathcal{O}(g)$ is complete if and only if $0 \notin \sigma_p(H_g)$.*
(2) $\mathcal{O}(g)$ never forms a frame nor a Riesz basis.

Proof. The proof of (1) is similar to Theorem 3.4(1), so we omit it. For (2), we just need to show $\mathcal{O}(g)$ never forms a frame. We first note by Lemma 5.1 that $0 \notin \sigma_{ap}(H_{\overline{g}})$. Thus, there exists $f_n \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$ with norm 1 such that $\|H_{\overline{g}}(f_n)\| \to 0$. Consider $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f_n \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\Psi_g \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{\overline{g}} f_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence,

$$\left\| \Psi_g \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f_n \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \|H_{\overline{g}} f_n\| \to 0.$$

Thus, the analysis operator of $\mathcal{O}$ is not normed bounded below and hence, it never forms a frame. □

We say that a function $h \in \tilde{H}^\infty$ is an *inner function* if $|h| \equiv 1$ on the unit circle. As a consequence of Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 2.3 in [26], we have the following analytic characterization for completeness of $\mathcal{O}(g)$ when g is bounded.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $g \in L^\infty$. The following are equivalent:*
(1) $\mathcal{O}(g)$ is not complete in $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$
(2) H_g has nontrivial kernel;
(3) Range H_g is not dense in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$
(4) $g = \overline{v}\psi$, where $\psi \in \tilde{H}^\infty$ and v is an inner function.

An explicit example is given in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.4. *Suppose that $g = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} b_n e_n$, where (b_n) has only finite many nonzero entries. Then $0 \in \sigma_p(H_g)$ and $\mathcal{O}(g)$ is not complete.*

Proof. Suppose that g has all Fourier coefficients $b_n = 0$ for all $|n| \geq N$. Then the Hankel operator representation (7) will be given by

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} H & O \\ -- & -- \\ O & O \end{array}\right],$$

where H is a non-zero N by N matrix and all other corners are zero matrices. Hence, it is clear that the image of $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ under U^N $U^N[\ell^2(\mathbb{N})]$, is in the kernel of $H_{\overline{g}}$. $\square$

In [26, Theorem 2.5], we know that the set $\{\overline{v}\psi,$ where $\psi \in \tilde{H}^\infty$ and v is an inner function $\}$ is dense in L^∞. Thus, the set of g for which $\mathcal{O}(g)$ is not complete in $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$ is dense in L^∞.

6. Applications

We will apply our theory to several applications discussed in the introduction.

Spatial-Temporal Samplings. Consider $F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and recall if we have $G_1, ..., G_L \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\Omega_1, ..., \Omega_L \subset \mathbb{Z}$. With all notation and definitions in the introduction, our problem is to characterize $\{(G_i, \Omega_i)\}_{i=1,..,L}$ by windowed exponentials so that it stably recovers F by the samples

$$(F(\Omega_0), G_1 * F(\Omega_1), ..., G_L * F(\Omega_L)).$$

We first have the following lemma stating a sufficient condition for $G_i * F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, guaranteeing the well-definedness of $\mathbf{A}$ in (2).

Lemma 6.1. *Let $G \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and let $g = \widehat{G} \in L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$. $G * F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ for all $F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $g \in L^\infty[-1/2, 1/2]$. Consequently, if $g_i \in L^\infty[-1/2, 1/2]$ for all $i = 1, ..., L$, then $\mathbf{A}$ in (2) is well-defined .*

Proof. For any $F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, note that $(G * F)\widehat{\ }(x) = g(x)f(x)$ where $f = \widehat{F}$. Clearly, if $g \in L^\infty[-1/2, 1/2]$, then $\int |gf|^2 \leq M \int |f|^2$ and thus $gf \in L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$. This means that $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |G * F(n)|^2 = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |(gf)\widehat{\ }(n)|^2 = \int |gf|^2$ by the Parseval identity, so $G * F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ for all $F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Conversely, if $G * F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ for all $F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, then $gf \in L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$ for all $f \in L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$. Now, define $E_n = \{x \in [-1/2, 1/2] : n \leq |g(x)| < n + 1\}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{n : |E_n| \neq 0\}$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure. We consider

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1) \cdot |E_n|^{1/2}} \chi_{E_n}$$

Then $\int |f|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} < \infty$ and

$$\int |gf|^2 dx \geq \sum_{n\in\mathcal{F}} \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^2,$$

which is finite if and only if $\mathcal{F}$ has only finitely many elements. This is equivalent to say $g \in L^\infty$. $\square$

Remark 6.2. $g = \widehat{G} \in L^\infty$ gives us a simple sufficient condition for $\mathbb{A}$ to be well-defined. However, it is not necessary as the boundedness depends on the choice to Ω_i. For example, if Ω_i is a finite set, then $G * F(\Omega_i)$ is clearly in $\ell^2(\Omega_i)$ and is thus well-defined.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. This is actually a standard proof. Note that the map $\mathbf{A}$ in (2) has a bounded left inverse if and only if $\mathbf{A}$ is normed bounded below by Lemma 2.3. i.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{L} \sum_{\lambda \in \Omega_i} |G_i * F(\lambda)|^2 \geq c \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |F(n)|^2. \tag{10}$$

Denote by $g_i = \widehat{G_i}$ and $f = \widehat{F}$. Note that

$$G_i * F(\lambda) = \widehat{(gf)}(\lambda) = \int f(x) g(x) e^{-2\pi i \lambda x} dx.$$

Therefore, (10) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{L} \sum_{\lambda \in \Omega_i} |\int f(x) g(x) e^{-2\pi i \lambda x} dx|^2 \geq c \int |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

As all $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$ can be written as $\widehat{F}$ for unique $F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, we have shown a bounded left inverse of $\mathbf{A}$ exists if and only if the window exponentials $\bigcup_{i=1}^{L} \mathcal{E}(\overline{g_i}, \Omega_i)$ possess a positive lower frame bound. As g_i are bounded, the upper bound is automatic. This completes the proof. $\square$

Because of the above theorem, the set of all G for which the samples $\{F(\mathbb{N}_-), (G * F)(\mathbb{N}_0)\}$ can stably recover F is completely characterized.

Theorem 6.3. *$\{(\delta_0, \mathbb{N}^-), (G, \mathbb{N}_0)\}$ admits a stable sampling on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if the Toeplitz operator $T_{\overline{g}}$ is norm-bounded below, i.e. $0 \in \sigma_{ap}(T_g)$, where $g = \widehat{G}$.*

Some special windows can be found in Section 4. Similarly, for $\{F(\mathbb{N}_-), (G * F)(\mathbb{N}_-)\}$, we notice however that none of the G forms a stable sampling in this case by Theorem 5.2.

Phaseless reconstruction. In phaseless setting, one wish to reconstruct $F \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ by the samples $\{|F|(\mathbb{Z}), |G * F|(\mathbb{Z})\}$ up to a constant phase angle. In [30], Thakur proved that a real-valued f in the Paley-Wiener space (see (4) for definition) can be recovered up to a sign from the samples

$$\left\{ |F(\frac{1}{2}n)| : n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} = \{|F(n)| : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{|G * F(n)| : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \tag{11}$$

where $G(x) = \mathrm{sinc}(\pi(x - 1/2))$ and $\mathrm{sinc}(x) = \sin \pi x / \pi x$. Indeed, $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} \cup (\mathbb{Z} + 1/2)$ and for those elements in $\mathbb{Z} + 1/2$, we have

$$F(n + 1/2) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \widehat{F}(x) e^{-2\pi i (n+1/2) x} dx = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \widehat{F}(x) e^{2\pi i 1/2 x} e^{-2\pi i n x} d\xi = G * F(n).$$

Therefore the $G(x) = sinc(\pi(x - 1/2))$ *allows real-phase retrieval.*

Notice however that (11) is not complex phase-retrievable because for complex-valued F, $|F(x)| = |\overline{F(x)}|$ but F and $\overline{F}$ in general does not differ from a constant phase angle. Nonetheless, considering $G * F$ provides us a natural generalization. In order to be complex phase retrievable, G has to be complex-valued. We now ask for which G are real/complex phase retrievable. Let us recall a general criterion for phase retrievability:

Lemma 6.4. [9] *If a set of vectors $\{\varphi_i\}_{i\in I}$ on a Hilbert space H is complex-phase retrievable, then $\{\varphi_i\}_{i\in I}$ satisfies the complement property: if $I = J \cup J^c$, then either $\{\varphi_i\}_{i\in J}$ or $\{\varphi_i\}_{i\in J^c}$ is complete in H.*

Conversely, the complement property is sufficient for $\{\varphi_i\}_{i\in I}$ to be real-phase retrievable

We have the following partial answers.

Proposition 6.5. *(1). Suppose that $\{|F|(\mathbb{Z}), |G * F|(\mathbb{Z})\}$ is phase-retrievable over the complex field. Then $\overline{g} \neq \overline{v}\psi$, where $\psi \in \hat{H}^\infty$ and v is an inner function.*

(2). Suppose that $G(x) = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} a_n sinc(x-n)$. Then $\{|F(\mathbb{Z})|, |G * F(\mathbb{Z})|\}$ is not real phase-retrievable.

Proof. (1) Suppose that G is of the form stated in the conclusion. Then consider the partition

$$\{F(\mathbb{N}^-), G * F(\mathbb{N}^-)\} \cup \{F(\mathbb{N}_0), G * F(\mathbb{N}_0)\}.$$

By Theorem 5.2, we know $\{F(\mathbb{N}^-), G * F(\mathbb{N}^-)\}$ is not complete. In fact, by a symmetry argument, $\{F(\mathbb{N}_0), G * F(\mathbb{N}_0)\}$ is not complete either. This shows the system has no complement property. Thus it is not complex-phase retrievable.

(2). Note that from Proposition 5.4, the Hankel operator H_g, $g = \widehat{G}$, has a non-trivial kernel with non-zero real vectors. Using the same proof as in (1), our conclusion follows. □

Derivative Sampling. Consider the sampling on Paley-Wiener space PW by the samples $\{F(n)\}_{n\geq 0} \cup \left\{\frac{-1}{2\pi i}F'(n)\right\}_{n<0}$. We note that if $f = \widehat{F}$, we have

$$F'(\xi) = \frac{d}{dx}\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} f(x)e^{-2\pi i\xi x}dx = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} f(x)(-2\pi ix)e^{-2\pi i\xi x}dx$$

Hence, the frame/basis and completeness properties are equivalent to that of the windowed exponentials $\mathcal{E}(\chi_{[-1/2,1/2]}, \mathbb{N}_0) \cup \mathcal{E}(x, \mathbb{N}^-)$. By Example 4.3(1), this collection is complete, but not forming a frame. Hence,

Theorem 6.6. *The one-sided derivative sampling by $\{F(n)\}_{n\geq 0} \cup \left\{\frac{-1}{2\pi i}F'(n)\right\}_{n<0}$ is not stable but it is injective.*

Despite such negative result, we establish the stable sampling result in a subclass of bandlimited functions.

Proposition 6.7. *Let $E = \{F \in PW : F(x) = F(-x)\}$ be the subspace of even functions on PW. Then there exists $c > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} |F(n)|^2 + \sum_{n<0} \left|\frac{-1}{2\pi i} F'(n)\right|^2 \geq c\|F\|^2, \forall F \in E.$$

Proof. Note that F is an even function if and only if $f = \widehat{F}$ is an even function. Therefore, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{E}(\chi_{[-1/2,1/2]}, \mathbb{N}_0) \cup \mathcal{E}(x, \mathbb{N}^-)$ forms a windowed exponential on the subspace $\widehat{E} = \{f \in L^2[-1/2, 1/2] : f(x) = f(-x)\}$. i.e. There exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 + \sum_{n\leq -1} |\widehat{xf}(n)|^2 \geq c\|f\|^2, \forall f \in \widehat{E}.$$

(recall that $e_n(x) = e^{2\pi i n x}$). Throughout the proof, we let $I = [-1/2, 1/2]$. By the Parseval's identity,

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 + \sum_{n\leq -1} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 = \|f\|^2 - (\widehat{f}(0))^2 = \int_I |f|^2 - \left|\int_I f\right|^2.$$

As $\widehat{f}(n) = \widehat{f}(-n)$, the sum on the left hand side are the same. Thus,

$$\sum_{n\leq -1} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 = \frac{\int_I |f|^2 - |\int_I f|^2}{2}. \tag{12}$$

Similarly, we notice that xf is an odd function, so we have

$$\sum_{n\leq -1} |\widehat{xf}(n)|^2 = \frac{\int_I |xf|^2 - \left|\int_I xf\right|^2}{2}. \tag{13}$$

Now, we write

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 + \sum_{n\leq -1} |\widehat{xf}(n)|^2 = \|f\|^2 - \left(\sum_{n\leq -1} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 - \sum_{n\leq -1} |\widehat{xf}(n)|^2\right) \tag{14}$$

By (12) and (13),

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\leq -1} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 - \sum_{n\leq -1} |\widehat{xf}(n)|^2 =& \frac{\int_I |f|^2 - \int_I |xf|^2 - \left(\left|\int_I f\right|^2 - \left|\int_I xf\right|^2\right)}{2} \\ \leq& \frac{\int_I |f|^2 + \left|\int_I xf\right|^2}{2} \\ \leq& \frac{\int_I |f|^2 + \left(\int_I x^2\right) \cdot \left(\int_I |f|^2\right)}{2} = \frac{13}{24}\|f\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Putting back to (14), we obtain

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 + \sum_{n\leq -1} |\widehat{xf}(n)|^2 \geq \|f\|^2 - \frac{13}{24}\|f\|^2 = \frac{11}{24}\|f\|^2.$$

□

7. Discussions and Open Problems

While our paper provided a complete classification for $\mathcal{F}(g)$ and $\mathcal{O}(g)$ to be complete or forming a frame/basis, the most general type of questions are to ask for what $g_1, ..., g_N$ and $\Lambda_1, ..., \Lambda_N$, the windowed exponential

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{N} \mathcal{E}(g_j, \Lambda_j)$$

is complete or forms a frame/basis on $L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$ (or any $L^2(\Omega)$). Particularly when Λ_j are under the critical sampling rate, the classification of g_j is an interesting problem. This problem is apparently hard to solve in its full generality. However, several interesting problems listed below, motivated from spatial-temporal samplings and phase retrieval, appears to generate a new wave of research.

(1) In [3], using our Theorem 1.4, they studied $\bigcup_{j=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{E}(g^j, m\mathbb{Z})$ and classified g such that the windowed exponential forms a frame by the invertibility of certain matrix (Theorem 2.1 in [3]). With the study of windowed exponentials, it is plausible that we can replace $m\mathbb{Z}$ by a general Λ, where Λ is undersampled. It is expected that N and the lower Beurling density of Λ will be related. In fact, using [14], it is also necessary that $0 < A \leq g \leq B < \infty$ a.e.

(2) Motivated from the complement property of

$$\{|F(n)|\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \cup \{|G * F(n)|\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}, \tag{15}$$

we ask if for what $g \in L^2[-1/2, 1/2]$ such that for any subsets $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{Z}$, either

$$\mathcal{E}(\chi_{[-1/2,1/2]}, \Omega_1) \cup \mathcal{E}(g, \Omega_2) \text{ or } \mathcal{E}(\chi_{[-1/2,1/2]}, \mathbb{Z} \setminus \Omega_1) \cup \mathcal{E}(g, \mathbb{Z} \setminus \Omega_2)$$

is complete. This will settle the real phase retrievability problem of system (15).

(3) The complex phase retrieval problem is more challenging than the real one. Our convolutional sampling in (15) provides a natural generalization of the classical sampling method (see 11). It is unknown if (15) provides complex phase retrieval. However, if we think (15) as sampling with a Nyquist rate of 2, results from finite dimensional phase retrieval [7] suggests it is not possible. We ask

(Qu): How many $G_1, ..., G_L$ is required for $\bigcup_{i=1}^{L} \{|G_i * F(n)|\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ to be complex phase-retrievable on PW?

From finite dimensional examples and experimental results in [25], a natural conjecture is that $L = 4$.

(4) Derivative sampling suggests that $\{F(n)\}_{n\geq 0} \cup \left\{\frac{-1}{2\pi i} F'(n)\right\}_{n<0}$ is unstable. However, it is stable if we restrict on the space of even functions. We ask for the largest possible subspaces in PW such that such sampling scheme is stable.

In conclusion, our paper provides a pioneering study on under-sampled windowed exponentials, which exists abundantly in a wide range of applications. It also contains many interesting unsolved problems that have potentially deep connection to objects, like Toeplitz operators in classical analysis.

References

[1] I.F. Akyildiz, W. Su, Y. Sankarasubramaniam, and E. Cayirci, *Wireless sensor networks: A survey*, Computer Networks, 38, (2002),pp. 393–422.

[2] A. Aldroubi, J. Davis and I. Krishtal, *Dynamical Sampling: Time Space Trade-off*. Appl. Comput.Harmon. Anal, Volume 34, Issue 3, May 2013, pp. 495–503.

[3] A. Aldroubi, J. Davis and I. Krishtal, *Exact Reconstruction of Signals in Evolutionary Systems Via Spatiotemporal Trade-off*. Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications, Volume 21, Issue 1, February 2015, pp. 11–31.

[4] A. Aldroubi, U. Molter, C. Cabrelli and S. Tang, *Dynamical Sampling*. To appear in Applied And Computational Harmonic Analysis. ArXiv 1409.8333, 2017.

[5] A. Aldroubi, C. Cabrelli, A.F. CM Akmak, U. Molter, and A. Petrosyan, *Iterative actions of normal operators*, to appear in J. Funct. Anal, 2017.

[6] A. Böttcher and B. Silbermann, *Analysis of Toeplitz Operators*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2006.

[7] R. Balan, P. Casazza and D. Edidin, *On signal reconstruction without phase*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 20 (2006), 345-356.

[8] R. Balan, Y. Wang, *Invertibility and robustness of phaseless reconstruction*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 38 (2015), 469-488.

[9] J. Cahill, P. Casazza, and I. Daubechies, *Phase retrieval in infinite dimensional Hilbert space*, Tran Amer Math Soc. Ser B, 3 (2016), 63-76.

[10] J. Conway, *A course in Functional Analysis*, 2nd edition, Springer.

[11] Y. Chen, C. Cheng, Q. Sun and H. Wang, *Phase retrieval of real-valued signals in a shift-invariant space*, arXiv 1603.01592, 2016.

[12] R. Duffin, and A. Schaeffer, *A class of nonharmonic Fourier series*, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc., 72 (1952), 341–366.

[13] F. Philipp, *Bessel Orbits of Normal Operators*, https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.07299, 2016.

[14] J.-P Gabardo and C.-K. Lai, *Frames of multi-windowed exponentials for subsets of* $\mathbb{R}^d$, Appl. Comp. Harm. Anal., 36 (2014), 461-472.

[15] C. Heil, *A Basis Theory Primer, Expanded edition.*, Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2011.

[16] C. Heil and G. Kutyniok, *Density of frames and Schauder bases of windowed exponentials*, Houston J. Math., 34 (2008), 565–600.

[17] A. Hartmann and M. Mitkovski, *kernels of Toeplitz operators*, https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.08326v1, 2015.

[18] C. Heil and G. J. Yoon, *Duals of windowed exponential systems*, Acta Appl. Math., 119 (2012), 97–112.

[19] C.-K. Lai, *On Fourier frame of absolutely continuous measures*, J. Funct. Anal., 261 (2011), 2877–2889.

[20] N. Levinson, *Gap and Density Theorems*, American Mathematical Society Colloquim publications volume XXVI.

[21] Y. Lu and M. Vetterli, *Spatial super-resolution of a diffusion field by temporal oversampling in sensor networks*. In Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, 2009. ICASSP 2009. IEEE International Conference on, april 2009, pp. 2249 –2252.

[22] N. Makarov and A. Poltoratski, *Beurling-Malliavin theory for Toeplitz kernels*. Invent. Math., 180(2010), 443V480.

[23] R. Martínez-Avendaño and P. Rosenthal, *An Introduction to Operators on Hardy-Hilbert Space*, Springer, 2007.
[24] J. Ortega-Cerdà and K. Seip, *Fourier frames*, Ann of Math., 155 (2002), 789–806.
[25] V. Pohl, F. Yang and H. Boche, *Phaseless Signal Recovery in Infinite Dimensional Spaces Using Structured Modulations*, J. Fourier Anal., Appl., 20 (2014), 1212-1233.
[26] V. Peller, *Hankel Operators and Their Applications*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics.
[27] R. Redheffer and R. Young, *Completeness and basis properties of complex exponentials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 277 (1983), 93-111.
[28] K. Seip, *On the Connection between Exponential Bases and Certain Related Sequences in* $L^2(-\pi, \pi)$, J. Funct, Anal., 130 (1995), 131–160.
[29] K. Seip, *Interpolation and Sampling in Spaces of Analytic Functions*, University Lecture Series, Vol 33, American Mathematical Society.
[30] T. Gaurav, *Reconstruction of bandlimited functions from unsigned samples.* J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 17 (2011), 720-732.
[31] R. Young, *An Introduction to nonharmonic Fourier series*, Academic Press, Rev. 1st ed., San Diego, CA, 2001.

Department of Mathematics, San Francisco State University, 1600 Holloway Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94132.
E-mail address: cklai@sfsu.edu

Department of Mathematics, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 North Charles Street, Baltimore, MD, 21218.
E-mail address: stang@math.jhu.edu